Dear Mrs Lam,

Resist the harmful, unethical and unscientific policy of banning e-cigarettes and other much safer alternatives to cigarettes – use risk-proportionate regulation instead

We write to express concern about a campaign led by a Hong Kong-based tobacco control organisation to have e-cigarettes, heated tobacco products and other low risk alternatives to smoking banned. We believe this campaign is ill-conceived and inconsistent with the international evidence. We are writing to urge the government to resist this pressure and to use ‘risk-proportionate’ regulation rather than prohibition to exploit the opportunities and minimise any risks associated with these new technologies.

We respectfully ask by what logical, scientific or ethical argument does it make sense to ban the much safer products while leaving the highly harmful dominant product, cigarettes, widely available throughout Hong Kong? Why would a government prevent a smoker switching to a much lower-risk product and, in doing so, perhaps save his own life using his own initiative and at his own expense?

There is no serious doubt that these products are far less harmful than cigarettes. In the 2018 United States Annual Review of Public Health, the authors state:

A diverse class of alternative nicotine delivery systems (ANDS) has recently been developed that do not combust tobacco and are substantially less harmful than cigarettes. ANDS have the potential to disrupt the 120-year dominance of the cigarette and challenge the field on how the tobacco pandemic could be reversed if nicotine is decoupled from lethal inhaled smoke

In a wide-ranging assessment, the United States National Academy of Sciences states:

While e-cigarettes are not without health risks, they are likely to be far less harmful than combustible tobacco cigarettes.

---
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In its 2018 guidance to the public, the American Cancer Society states:\(^4\)

Based on the most recent studies, e-cigarettes are, in general, substantially less harmful than smoking cigarettes. But long-term health effects are still unclear.

In its ground-breaking 2016 report, the London-based Royal College of Physicians states:\(^5\)

Although it is not possible to precisely quantify the long-term health risks associated with e-cigarettes, the available data suggest that they are unlikely to exceed 5% of those associated with smoked tobacco products, and may well be substantially lower than this figure.

**But we don’t know the long term effects?** All major assessments recognise that we do not yet know the long-term impacts of these products. However, this is a statement of the obvious - we do not have the means to travel into the future to look back what happened between now and 2050. But that should not be a reason for policy paralysis. It is possible that there will be no significant harm to health at all or, alternatively, some unforeseen problem may emerge, which it may be possible to address through future regulation. But based on what is known already, the scientific consensus is that the health effects of smoking are overwhelmingly due to inhalation of products of combustion of tobacco leaf, and that technologies that do not involve combustion are likely to be much less harmful than smoking.

**We should take a “precautionary” approach?** It is not ‘precautionary’, as some claim, to deny these technologies to smokers. If that means they cannot switch to a lower risk product, then it increases the risk that they will continue to smoke and be harmed as a result. Millions of smokers have moved from cigarettes to less harmful alternatives where the laws allow it. Where alternative products have been popular, we have seen rapid declines in smoking, for example in the United Kingdom\(^6\), Sweden\(^7\), the United States\(^8\), and in Japan where cigarette consumption fell by 27 percent in the two years between first quarter 2016 and the same period in 2018\(^9\) following the introduction of heated tobacco products.

**Adverse population effects?** We have also seen no compelling evidence that these products attract significant numbers of young people who would not otherwise have smoked\(^10\). Claims of ‘gateway effects’ have invariably suffered from methodological weaknesses\(^11\) and regular youth use appears to be
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concentrated in young people who smoke\textsuperscript{12}. On the contrary, there is evidence that young people use vaping products to reduce harm and to quit smoking\textsuperscript{13}. We see absolutely no evidence that vaping normalises smoking. As expected, it appears to do the opposite by promoting alternatives to smoking and therefore normalises \textit{smoking cessation}\textsuperscript{14}.

\textbf{To ban or to regulate?} In July 2015, we were pleased to submit views to the Legislative Council Health Panel when it considered the issue of e-cigarettes in 2015.\textsuperscript{15} Further comments were submitted when the Panel revisited the issue in June 2018.\textsuperscript{16} We were disappointed to learn that instead of recommending the sound regulation e-cigarettes, several members of the Health Panel recommended \textit{banning} these products.\textsuperscript{17} There is no credible justification for such a policy anywhere.

\textbf{Relying on poor advice}. Given this is a matter of life and death, we hope the government will take high quality advice. Harm reduction is integral to tobacco control\textsuperscript{18} and for at least four years, international tobacco control experts have been calling for bodies like the WHO and Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) Secretariat to embrace the harm reduction dimension tobacco control strategy.\textsuperscript{19} Yet papers coming from the FCTC Secretariat\textsuperscript{20} have been severely criticised in the expert community for poor science and lack of an appropriate risk evaluation framework\textsuperscript{21} (please note a version is available in Chinese). Similar criticisms might be made of Hong Kong’s Office of Tobacco Control.\textsuperscript{22} Its analysis selectively cites particular studies or effects but does not put them into context with respect to smoking-related risks or absolute risk benchmarks such as occupational exposure limits. Without insights into relative risk the information is of little of value to policy-makers or legislators.
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Hong Kong’s leading tobacco control advocacy organisation, the Council on Smoking and Health (COSH), has been campaigning to prohibit these safer alternatives to cigarettes.\textsuperscript{23} Such a prohibition would paradoxically grant a monopoly to cigarettes, while denying over 600,000 Hong Kong smokers access to much safer alternatives. Why would a tobacco control agency protect the cigarette trade in this way?

A major UK parliamentary inquiry into e-cigarettes reported on 17 August, 2018\textsuperscript{24}. The MPs concluded:

\begin{quote}
E-cigarettes present an opportunity to significantly accelerate already declining smoking rates, and thereby tackle one of the largest causes of death in the UK today. They are substantially less harmful—by around 95%—than conventional cigarettes. They lack the tar and carbon monoxide of conventional cigarettes—the most dangerous components. [...] \\
There should be a shift to a more risk-proportionate regulatory environment; where regulations, advertising rules and tax duties reflect the evidence of the relative harms of the various e-cigarette and tobacco products available.
\end{quote}

Banning e-cigarettes and heated tobacco products while continuing to permit widespread sale of cigarettes would be poor policy, bad for public health, and contrary to Hong Kong’s objective of fostering technology and innovation for the public good. The cigarette trade is deeply entrenched and extremely harmful, but there are now technologies capable of disrupting this market for the benefit of public health. Governments and health organisations should be encouraging, not prohibiting, new technologies capable of driving down smoking to record low levels. In England, the government now advertises switching to e-cigarettes on television as part of its stop smoking campaigns.

We respectfully ask that the government of Hong Kong takes a fresh look the international evidence and considers the ethical issues at stake. We hope that the government will signal support for of these technologies and show that it is open to innovation for public benefit in the 2018 Policy Address\textsuperscript{25}.

Yours sincerely,

\begin{center}
\textbf{Professor David B. Abrams PhD}  \\
Department of Social and Behavioral Science  \\
NYU College of Global Public Health  \\
New York University.  \\
United States

\textbf{Professor Raymond S. Niura PhD}  \\
Department of Social and Behavioral Science  \\
NYU College of Global Public Health  \\
New York University.  \\
United States

\textbf{Clive D. Bates MSc}  \\
Director,  \\
Counterfactual  \\
London,  \\
United Kingdom

\textbf{David T. Sweanor JD}  \\
Adjunct Professor of Law  \\
Chair of the Advisory Board of the Centre for Health Law, Policy and Ethics  \\
University of Ottawa, Canada
\end{center}
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